Report issued by the Audit Committee on the Independence of the Account Auditors of Applus Services, S.A.

NOTICE: This document is a translation of a duly approved Spanish-language document, and is provided for information purposes only. In the event of any discrepancy between this translation and the text of the original Spanish-language document, the text of the latter shall prevail.
I. Introduction

This Report on the independence of the auditors is drafted by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Applus Services, S.A. (hereinafter, “Applus” or the “Company”) at its meeting held on 23 February 2016 in compliance with section 4(f) of article 529 quaterdecies of the Spanish Companies Act and article 39.7c (iii) of the Regulation of the Board of Directors of Applus.

The General Shareholders’ Meeting agreed in its meeting held on 18 June 2015, as proposed by the Board of Directors and, in turn, previously proposed by the Audit Committee, to re-elect as auditor of the Company and its consolidated group for the year 2015 Deloitte, S.L., domiciled at Plaza Pablo Ruiz Picasso, 1, (Picasso Tower), Madrid, 28020, with Tax Identification Number (Número de identificación fiscal) B-79104469, duly registered with the Commercial Registry of Madrid, Volume 13,650; Sheet 188; Section 8; Page M-54414, and the Official Registry of Accounting Auditors (ROAC) with number S-0692 (hereinafter, the “Auditor”).

II. Analysis on the Independence of the Auditor

1. Communication with the Auditor. During the course of its activities, the Audit Committee has maintained during year 2015 the appropriate relations with the Auditor in order to receive information about issues that may imply a risk to its independence or any other issues relating to the audit process, as well as those other communications provided for in the applicable legislation on account auditing and standard audit techniques.

In order to comply with the provisions of article 13 of Legislative Royal Decree 1/2011, 1 July, approving the restated text of the Law on Account Auditing (Real Decreto Legislativo 1/2011, de 1 de julio, por el que se aprueba el texto refundido de la Ley de Auditoría de Cuentas) (“Law 1/2011”), the Audit Committee has maintained timely meetings with the Auditor during year 2015, from which it received punctual and detailed information regarding the non-audit services provided to the Company and its group of companies, in accordance with article 39.7c of the Regulations of the Board of Directors.

2. Services provided by the Auditor. The breakdown of services provided by the Auditor to the Company during year 2015 is as follows (amounts expressed in thousands of euros):
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Expenses (thousands of euros)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit Services</td>
<td>1,832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other verification services</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax services</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other services</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total professional services provided to the Company</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,193</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The total amount for the services provided by the Auditor to the Company or its consolidated group during year 2015 is equivalent to 2,193 million euros, 361 thousand of which correspond to non-audit services. These amounts are not significant in comparison with the total annual income of the Auditor, considering the average of such annual income for the last 3 years published by the Auditor.

In respect of the non-audit services, the category “Other verification services” includes, essentially, services relating to the limited review undertaken by the auditor in respect of the six-month statement closed on 30 June; the category “Tax services” corresponds to services on transfer pricing and “Other services” basically corresponds to services relating to analysis for the conversion into IFRS of the accounts of certain foreign subsidiaries as well as translation of documentation. None of these services are included in the prohibited services provided for in article 13 of Law 1/2011, and the appointment of the Auditor was based exclusively on its ability to provide an efficient audit and an adequate price in relation to the market, in addition to its significant knowledge of the Company, which ensures a total customization of the services to the Company’s circumstances. The Audit Committee considers that the provision of audit services by the Auditor was not influenced or determined by the provision of non-audit services.

3. Statement of Independence issued by the Auditor itself. Finally, the Audit Committee confirms that it has received from the Auditor written confirmation of its independence from the Company and its group of companies, as well as information about non-audit services, on an individual basis and jointly considered, of whatever class, provided to the Applus group by the Auditor or by any related person or entity, in accordance with the provisions established in Law 1/2011.

In such statement, the Auditor indicates that it has not identified circumstances that could imply a conflict of interest and that any danger to its independence has been mitigated through the implementation of safeguards in the provision of non-audit services.

**III. Conclusion**

The Audit Committee considers that, during year 2015, the Auditor has independently performed its services as the following elements have met:
(i) In the information obtained through the aforementioned channels of communication, the Audit Committee has not identified any aspect that questions the compliance with the applicable audit laws regarding the independence of the Auditor and, in particular, it has not identified aspects of such nature related to the provision of non-audit services, whether considered individually or together.

(ii) The fees are not influenced or determined by the provision of non-audit services, nor are they based in contingencies or conditions distinct from changes in circumstances that serve as the base for the determination of fees, in accordance with the provisions of article 21 of Law 1/2011.

(iii) The fees for the audit and non-audit services provided do no constitute a significant percentage of the total annual income of the Auditor, considering the average for the last 3 years; and

(iv) The Auditor has confirmed, in writing, that its independence is in accordance with the provision in Law 1/2011 and the standard auditing techniques provided by the Institute of Accounting and Auditing.

Based on the foregoing information, the Audit Committee concludes that there are no objective reasons to question the independence of the auditor for year 2015.

****

In Bellaterra, Cerdanyola del Vallès (Barcelona), on 23 February 2016.